

Contents

List of figures	viii
List of tables	viii
Transcription conventions	viii
1 Situating the Research	1
1.1 Research goals and objectives	1
1.2 Style and sociolinguistic variation: social meaning	2
1.2.1 Social structure	2
1.2.2 Social relations	7
1.2.3 Social networks	11
1.2.4 Social practice	15
1.2.5 Social action	19
1.3 A theoretical framework for identity	23
1.4 Children and adolescents in sociolinguistic research	25
1.5 Outline of the study	29
2 Background to the Study	31
2.1. Schools in context	31
2.1.1 Comparison between schools	32
2.1.2 The schools as communities of practice	37
2.2. Fieldwork and methodology	43
2.2.1 Linguistic ethnography	43
2.2.2. Technology and fieldwork design	46
2.2.3. Ethical issues	52
3 Pronouns in Teesside	56
3.1 Introduction	56
3.2 Objective forms	59
3.2.1 Singular ‘us’	60
3.3 Possessive forms	62
3.3.1 Possessive ‘me’	71
3.4 Folk linguistic representations	74

3.5 Possessive ‘me’, dialect style and stylisation	80
3.5.1 Stylisation: examples in context	81
3.5.2 Frequency, salience and stereotypes	94
3.6 Stylised ‘posh’ and ‘American’	96
3.7 Conclusion	99
4 Singular ‘us’ and Other Directives	101
4.1 Introduction	101
4.2 Plural pronouns and politeness	101
4.2.1 Beyond the ‘standard’/‘non-standard’ dichotomy	103
4.3 Directives: form and function	105
4.3.1 Categories of directive	106
4.3.1.1 Imperatives	106
4.3.1.2 Expressions of obligation and want/need	107
4.3.1.3 Modal interrogatives	109
4.3.1.4 ‘Howay’	109
4.3.2 Comparison between the schools	110
4.3.3 Impact of addressee	112
4.4 Directives in context	121
4.4.1 Imperatives with singular ‘us’	121
4.4.1.1 Ironstone Primary	125
4.4.1.2 Murrayfield Primary	136
4.4.1.3 Summary	138
4.4.2 Collaborative ‘Let’s’ and inclusive ‘we’	139
4.4.3 ‘Howay’ and in-group identity	141
4.4.4 Conventionally indirect strategies	147
4.4.5 Self-repair and reformulation	152
4.4.6 Comparison of paired extracts	154
4.5 Conclusion	163
5 Right Dislocation and Interactional Stance	167
5.1 Introduction	167
5.2 Dislocation: terminology, distribution and form	168

5.2.1 Left dislocation	170
5.2.2 Right dislocation	171
5.3 Right dislocation: a comparison between schools	179
5.3.1 Pronoun tags	179
5.4 The pragmatic functions of right dislocation	182
5.4.1 Noun phrase tags	182
5.4.2 Pronoun tags	188
5.5 The social meaning of pronoun tags	197
5.6 Cross-school comparison revisited	205
5.7 Pre- and post-clausal discourse features	214
5.8 Conclusion	216
6 Conclusion	218
References	227
Appendices	
Appendix 1 Parental consent form	239
Appendix 2 Transcripts for analysis (Chapter 4.3.6)	241
Appendix 3 Summary of left and right dislocation	249
Appendix 5 Published paper using data from this thesis	250

Figures

Figure 2.1 Location of the schools	31
------------------------------------	----

Tables

Table 2.1 2001 Census data	34
Table 2.2 Standards achieved at Ironstone and Murrayfield Primary	37
Table 3.1 Prototypical pronoun paradigm (standard English)	56
Table 3.2 Tyneside pronominal system	58
Table 3.3 First person objective singular	59
Table 3.4 First person possessive singular	63
Table 3.5 Pronoun choice according to following phonological context	65
Table 4.1 Directives: a comparison across schools	106
Table 4.2 Directives by addressee	114
Table 4.3 Directives addressed to Miss Snell	116
Table 4.4 First person modal interrogatives by addressee	147
Table 4.5 Second person modal interrogatives by addressee	148
Table 5.1 Summary of findings on left and right dislocation	169
Table 5.2 Right dislocation – distribution between schools	179
Table 5.3 Type of pronoun used in right dislocation	180
Table 5.4 Distribution of pronouns in conversation	180

Transcription conventions

[shoe	Brackets signal the start of overlapping speech
[(Pass us it)	
(.)	A pause less than 0.5 seconds
(# of seconds)	Timed pause (to nearest 0.1 of a second)
(text)	Speech which is unclear or in doubt
(xxxxxxx)	Indistinguishable speech
((laughs))	Annotation of other verbal/non-verbal activity
. ?	Minimal punctuation is used to aid the reader.